Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/603,355	ZHANG ET AL.
	ary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Leigh C. Maier	1623
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>amended</u>		
(1) <u>Leigh C. Maier</u> .		(3)	
(2) William Ramey.		(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 May 2005</u>		Time: <u>11:30 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: .		nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 14 and 16			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERA	AL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ition. The e	examiner will provide a cord of the substance o	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•			
•		•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/A	Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/603,355

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exr indicated that claims were in conditon for allowance with a couple of corrections. Exr proposed correcting the spelling of "cyclodextrin" in claim 14 and delete the numeral "4" in claim 16. It was noted that it appeared that Applicant intended to delete the "4" in claim 16, but the strike-through mark is not visible. Mr. Ramey authorized the examiner's amendment necessary to make these corrections.